



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/937,715	01/16/2002	Philip S Russell	124-892	7024
75	90 06/13/2003			
Nixon & Vanderhye 8th Floor 1100 North Glebe Road			EXAMINER	
			SONG, SARAH U	
Arlington, VA 22201-4714			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2874	
		DATE MAILED: 06/13/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/937,715	RUSSELL ET AL.				
Gines Assert Guinnary	Examiner	Art Unit				
Th MAII ING DATE of this communication app	Sarah Song	2874				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35.U.S.C. 8.133)				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/16	/02					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowal		rosecution as to the morits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20,22-27 and 30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20 and 22-27</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19 and 30</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 January 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priorit         application from the International Bure     </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority of the priority</li></ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic</li> </ul>	isional application has been rec	eived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3.	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/937,715 Page 2

Art Unit: 2874

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Information Disclosure Statement

2. The prior art documents submitted by the applicant in the Information Disclosure Statement filed on October 1, 2001 have all been considered and made of record (note the attached copy of form PTO-1449).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 30 recites a method of transmitting, but does not recite any method steps. Therefore, claim 30 does not particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/937,715 Page 3

Art Unit: 2874

Claims 1-7, 9-12, 14, 15, 17-19 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being 6. anticipated by DiGiovanni et al. (U.S. Patent 5,802,236 provided by the Applicant). DiGiovanni et al. discloses a photonic crystal fiber comprising a region of substantially uniform, lower refractive index (51) which is substantially surrounded by cladding with includes regions of higher refractive index and which is substantially periodic, characterized in that the region of lower refractive index has a longest transverse dimension which is longer than a single shortest, period of the cladding (See Figure 5 and column 5, lines 46-52). It has been held that the functional "whereby" statement does not define any structure and accordingly cannot serve to distinguish. In re Mason, 114 USPQ 127, 44 CCPA 937 (1957). Regarding claims 2 and 7, the core region may comprise air. Regarding claims 3-5, Figure 5 shows a triangular lattice comprising air holes (voids) in a solid matrix (silica). Regarding claim 6, DiGiovanni et al. discloses the fraction of air in the cladding to be 50% by volume (column 10, lines 48-52). Regarding claim 9, see column 9, lines 35-48. Regarding claims 10-11, see column 4, lines 26-31. Regarding claim 12, 14 and 15, see column 9, lines 15-34. Regarding claims 17-19, see Figure 3. Regarding claim 30, DiGiovanni et al. discloses a method of transmitting light along the disclosed fiber in Figure 3.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/937,715 Page 4

Art Unit: 2874

8. Claims 13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DiGiovanni et al. DiGiovanni et al. does not specifically disclose spectral filters or gas sensors comprising photonic crystal fiber. However, a gas sensor is a suggested application, as discussed in column 1, lines 42-52. It would also have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use the fiber of DiGiovanni et al. in a spectral filter since the higher intensity of the optical signal guided by the fiber of DiGiovanni et al. would automatically compensate for any intrinsic attenuation resulting from the filter.

9. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DiGiovanni et al. as applied to claims 1, respectively above, and further in view of Birks et al. (WO 99/00685). DiGiovanni et al. does not specifically disclose a lower refractive index portion to be a low-pressure region. Birks et al. discloses lower refractive index regions to be filled with air or a vacuum (low pressure region). One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to comprise the lower refractive index regions of a low-pressure region, or any various well-known lower refractive index materials depending on the particular type of radiation to be transmitted. For example, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art to select a known preferred medium to transmit a particular optical signal or a particular wavelength.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 20 and 22-27 are allowed.
- The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

  The prior art of record does not disclose or suggest the method of making a photonic crystal fiber comprising the step of forming a stack of canes, the stack including at least one truncated cane

Application/Control Number: 09/937,715

Art Unit: 2874

which defines a cavity in the stack, as claimed in claim 20. Claims 22-27 would be allowable as

depending from claim 20.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Borrelli et al. discloses a hollow photonic crystal fiber (Figure 7).

13. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the

claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c)

and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

14. Any inquiry concerning the merits of this communication should be directed to Examiner

Sarah Song at telephone number 703-306-5799. Any inquiry of a general or clerical nature, or

relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist at

telephone number 703-308-0956 or to the technical support staff supervisor at telephone number

703-308-3072.

facal y long

June 11, 2003

Page 5